

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,627	04/27/2001	- Nancy A. Abbe	ABBE/001	2399
1473	7590 05/15/2002			
FISH & NEAVE			EXAMINER	
1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS 50TH FLOOR			CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J	
NEW YORK	, NY 10020-1105		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,	3727	
			DATE MAILED: 05/15/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AT				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/844,627	ABBE, NANCY A				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen J. Castellano	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet t	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a sly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application	n					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-12,18 and 21-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,13-17,19,20 and 24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) $⊠$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 April 2001</u> is/are: a) $□$ accepted or b) $⊠$ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) 🔲 Notice o	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/844,627

Art Unit: 3727

Claims 10-12, 18 and 21-23 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected specie, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 2.

Applicant's election of the specie of Group 2A: Fig. 3 in Paper No. 2 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the plastic as well as the polystyrene foam of claims 7 and 8 as depicted incorrectly by a cross hatching for glass in the cross section of Fig. 3 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The trademarks of STYROFOAM and ZIPLOCK are not accompanied by generic terminology such as "polystyrene foam" and "zipper closures." The trademarks of TUPPERWARE, RUBBER MAID and GLAD may be left without generic terminology since these brand names don't refer to one specific type of container or item.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,627

Art Unit: 3727

Claims 1-9, 13-17, 19-20 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said outer wall of said label container area" in lines 7 and 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 7 and 8 are indefinite because they contradict the hollow channel limitation of claim 5 because a foam or plastic insulating material placed within the inaccessible portion of a hollow channel makes the channel non-hollow.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 9, 13-17, 19, 20 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barhite in view of Dorney.

Barhite discloses the invention except for the translucent outer wall. Dorney discloses a double wall cup having an outer wall of translucent material. It would have been obvious to modify the material of the outer wall of Barhite to be translucent in order to see an item placed within the space between the inner and outer walls of a container.

Claims 1-6, 9, 13, 15, 20 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wooster in view of Dorney.

Wooster discloses the invention except for the translucent outer wall. Dorney discloses a double wall cup having an outer wall of translucent material. It would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 09/844,627

Art Unit: 3727

modify the material of the outer wall of Wooster to be translucent in order to see an item placed within the space between the inner and outer walls of a container.

Claims 7, 8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wooster in view of Dorney as applied to claims 1 and 5 above, and further in view of Hepburn and Nelson (*210).

The combination discloses the invention except for expanded polystyrene in the lower region, the tapered outer wall. Hepburn discloses in Fig. 5 and 6 a cooler wherein the inner and outer walls define a channel therebetween with expanded polystyrene located in a lower region of the cooler with a label area being formed above expanded polystyrene, the outer wall is tapered to be more narrow at the bottom. Nelson discloses a water heater having inner and outer walls with a channel formed therebetween; the channel includes a barrier, an expanded polystyrene region above the barrier and a label area below the barrier. It would have been obvious to add expanded polystyrene in order to increase the insulation value to prevent food spoilage. It would have been obvious to taper the walls in order to nest the containers to form a more compact way to store a series of empty containers.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, 13-17, 19, 20 and 24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 09/844,627 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging

FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703) 872-9302. This practice

may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which

require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the

examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720

will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication of earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1035.

Stephen Castellano

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3727

May 9, 2002